



State of Utah  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt  
Governor  
Ted Stewart  
Executive Director  
Lowell P. Braxton  
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210  
PO Box 145801  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801  
801-538-5340  
801-359-3940 (Fax)  
801-538-7223 (TDD)

January 23, 1998

TO: File

FROM: Lynn Kunzler, Senior Reclamation Specialist *LK*

RE: Meeting Notes of December 11, 1997, Tintic Utah Metals, LLC., Burgin Mine Project, M/049/009, Utah County, Utah

Paul Spor, Manager, Tintic Utah Metals, and Tom Gast, Consultant, met with Division Staff Wayne Hedberg, Tony Gallegos, Tom Munson and Lynn Kunzler, to discuss the Burgin Mine project permit transfer and to familiarize Division Staff with the project and the scope of the changes that are in the transfer application from the original approved mining plan.

The meeting began with a review of the project history. Sunshine Mining Company began in the early 1980's with the Apex #2 mine which operated until about 1992. In 1984, the Burgin mine application was submitted. The Trixie mine operated from 1985-1992 and was returned to South Standard Mining Company. The rest of Sunshine's properties (Apex/Burgin) were returned to Chief Consolidated (land owner). The Zuma Property (clays) shipped product to the cement plant in Leamington (about 50,000 tons). The Hunter Shaft and the Burgin #3 were never constructed. The Burgin #1 and #2 were shut down in 1979. The Burgin #1 shaft area is used for storage and laydown area and the shaft, constructed in the 1950's, is used for ventilation. It was also indicated that the total amount of acreage proposed for disturbance (and bonded) had not been disturbed.

The proposed changes with the transfer include: relocation of the site for the Burgin #3; using a truck haulage of the ore vs. a conveyor system; variances for reclaiming certain dump slopes (regrading and revegetation); and not reclaiming the tailings pond (the landowner wants this left for long term runoff and water management).

It was indicated that a couple of maps would help Division staff during the review; (1) a good area map showing the locations of the several sites in relationship to each other; and (2) a map showing the areas approved under the existing plan, what was disturbed, and the areas that would be affected by the proposed changes.

jb  
cc: Paul Spor, Tintic Utah Metals  
Tom Gast, Consultant  
0:\m049009.mtg